




7 June 1968

NOTE FOR: Mr. Bannerman via Mr. Coffey, Mr. 

Mr.  suggestion on the use of the PATB test seems to be a reasonable one. In essence the parts of the test that pertain to employment screening would be given in a 3-1/2 to 4 hour package in the field; the remainder of the test, including those portions on which you have rather serious questions, would be administered at Headquarters during an invitational visit to Headquarters if the candidate proves to be of interest.



SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Director of Personnel	7 JUN 1968	P&W
2	DDS		
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; margin: 5px 0;"></div> MADE A VERY THOROUGH REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED P&W. MY OWN REVIEW WAS LESS THOROUGH BUT NOTHING I SAW CHANGED MY BELIEF THAT WE SHOULD USE IN THE FIELD ONLY THOSE ITEMS WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO THE FIRST "PUT IN PLACE" DECISION, RESERVING UNTIL LATER THE MORE INTRUSIVE MATTER. P&W			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
DD/Pers/R&P 5E67 Hqs			6/6/68
UNCLASSIFIED			CONFIDENTIAL